

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/084,350	02/28/2002	Kuang-Yao Lo	041781-5032	5643		
9629	7590 08/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LEWIS & BOCKIUS LL	AN, SHAWN S				
	SYLVANIA AVENUE NW TON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2613			
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/084,35	0	LO, KUANG-YAO				
Offi	ce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Shawn S A	เท	2613				
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for received f	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF NTHS from the mailing date of this communication eply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a eply is specified above, the maximum statutory per within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard the set or extended period for reply will, by standard the set of the se	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu riod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cation to become ABANDON	irnely filed ays will be considered timely m the mailing date of this co IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)∐ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on _	•						
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed i	n accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte</i> Qua	зуlе, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of C	laims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of th	ne above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from cor	sideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) is/are objected to.							
8)∐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election re	quirement.					
Application Pape	ers							
9)∐ The spe	cification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)☐ The drav	wing(s) filed on is/are: a) [accepted or b)[\beth objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applican	t may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replace	ment drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is require	d if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ The oath	n or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Offic	e Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowl	edgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. ,	,	, , , , ,				
1.⊠ C	ertified copies of the priority docum	ents have beer	ı received.					
2.□ C	ertified copies of the priority docum	ients have beer	received in Applica	tion No	~			
	opies of the certified copies of the p	· -		ed in this National	Stage			
	pplication from the International Bur	•	* **					
* See the a	attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certifi	ed copies not receiv	'ed.				
Attachment(s)			_					
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	y (PTO-413) Date				
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		D-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)		e Action Summar	у Р	Part of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20040824			

Rest Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/084,350

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mahler et al (6,396,534 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Mahler et al discloses a video monitoring method comprising:

providing a video camera (Fig. 1, 5) that generates a series of frame outputs, wherein each of the image regions containing a predetermined segment of a predetermined set of horizontal scan lines of the corresponding frame output, obtaining a reference brightness value for each of the image regions of a reference one of the frame outputs, obtaining a current brightness value for each of the image regions of a current one of the frame outputs, and comparing each of the current brightness values with a respective one of the reference brightness values to detect movement of an object into one of the image regions of the frame outputs (col. 5, lines 23-41).

Regarding claim 2, Mahler et al discloses movement being confirmed when the difference between the current brightness value and the respective reference brightness value exceeds a predetermined threshold (col. 5, lines 33-41).



Application/Control Number: 10/084,350

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 3, Mahler et al discloses activating an alarm upon detection that an object has moved into one of the image regions of the frame outputs (col. 5, lines 33-41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahler et al (6,396,534 B1) in view of Ito et al (6,404,455 A1).

Regarding claim 4, Mahler et al does not particularly disclose moving the camera in such that a succeeding one of the frame outputs is centered at one of the image regions of the current frame outputs, the current brightness value of the image regions having a largest difference with the respective difference value.

However, Ito et al teaches intruding object monitoring system comprising a camera moving in such that a succeeding one of the frame outputs is centered at one of the image regions of the current frame outputs, the current brightness value of the image regions having a largest difference with the respective difference value (col. 4, lines 62-67; col. 5, lines 1-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art employing a video monitoring method as taught by Mahler et al to incorporate Ito et al's teaching as above, so as to efficiently track an intruder or a moving object/person.

Regarding claims 5-7, the Examiner takes official notice that a surveillance camera comprising an array as an image region including columns and rows of equal number is well known in the surveillance art.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,350

Art Unit: 2613

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the array as an image region including columns and rows of equal number as a simple structure to detect an intruder or a moving object/person.

Regarding claim 8, Mahler et al discloses the image regions of each of the frame outputs do not overlap (col. 3, lines 8-15).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Ito et al (2001/0019357 A1), Intruding object monitoring method and intruding object monitoring system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn S An whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 7. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSA

da

Primary Patent Examiner 8/25/04